arXiv:1107.2331v1 [math.GR] 12 Jul 2011

ON PRO-p ANALOGUES OF LIMIT GROUPS VIA EXTENSIONS OF CENTRALIZERS

D. H. KOCHLOUKOVA, P. A. ZALESSKII

Abstract. We begin a study of a pro-p analogue of limit groups via extensions of centralizers and call $\mathcal{L}$ this new class of pro-p groups. We show that the pro-p groups of $\mathcal{L}$ have finite cohomological dimension, type FP_∞ and non-positive Euler characteristic. Among the group theoretic properties it is proved that they are free-by-(torsion-free poly -procyclic) and if non-abelian do not have a finitely generated non-trivial normal subgroup of infinite index. Furthermore it is shown that every 2 generated pro-p group in the class $\mathcal{L}$ is either free pro-p or abelian.

1. Introduction

Abstract limit groups have recently attracted a great deal of attention and played a key role in the solution of the famous Tarski problems ([9]-[11], [21]-[26]). V. Remeslennikov has initiated their study in [16] referring to them as $\exists$-free groups, reflecting the fact that these groups have the same existential theory as a free group, or ω-residually free groups. O. Kharlampovich and A. Myasnikov have studied abstract limit groups extensively under the name fully residually free groups, i.e. groups G such that for every finite subset X of G there is a free group F together with a homomorphism of groups $\varphi : G \to F$ whose restriction to X is injective (see [7] and [8]). In fact, abstract limit groups G are exactly finitely generated fully residually free groups. The most relevant definition for this paper is that abstract limit groups are finitely generated subgroups of a group obtained by a finite sequence of extensions of centralizers starting with a free group of finite rank, i.e. every limit group can be viewed as a finitely generated subgroup of an inductively constructed group $B_n = B_{n-1} *_C A$ by forming a free amalgamated product of a group B_{n-1} and a free finite rank abelian group A with a cyclic amalgamation C that is self-centralized in B_{n-1} and the class B_0 contains precisely the finitely generated free groups.

The objective of this paper is to begin the study of a pro-p analogue of limit groups. We define a class of pro-p groups $\mathcal{L}$ as the class that contains the finitely generated pro-p subgroups of a sequence of extensions of centralizers performed in the category of pro-p groups starting with a finitely generated free pro-p group, see Section 3. When the term finitely generated is used for a pro-p group we mean of course topologically finitely generated. Note that the geometric approach of Z. Sela [21] is not available in the pro-p case and we do not know whether the class of pro-p groups we define contains only fully residually free pro-p groups or contains all finitely generated fully residually free pro-p groups.

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 20E18; Secondary 20E06, 20E08.

Both authors are partially supported by "bolsa de produtividade em pesquisa" from CNPq, Brazil.

We study the group theoretic structure and the homological properties of a pro-p group in $\mathcal{L}$ and show that various results that are known for abstract limit groups hold in the pro-p case. For example as shown in Section 5 the pro-p groups from the class $\mathcal{L}$ are transitive commutative and the centralizer and the normalizer of a closed procyclic subgroup always coincide and are abelian. Furthermore every virtually soluble pro-p group from the class $\mathcal{L}$ is abelian. We list our main results in the following

Theorem. *Let G be a pro-p group from the class $\mathcal{L}$. Then*

1. *G is free-by-(torsion free poly-procyclic);*
2. *G is of finite cohomological dimension;*
3. *G is of type FP_∞ and has non-positive Euler characteristic;*
4. *If G is non-abelian then G does not have a finitely generated non-trivial normal subgroup of infinite index;*
5. *If G is 2-generated then G is either free pro-p or free pro-p abelian.*

In the abstract case the same results hold. The fact that an abstract limit group is of type FP_∞ follows directly from Bass-Serre theory and the abstract case of (4) is proved in [1]. The properties that abstract limit groups are free-by-(torsion-free nilpotent) and of non-positive Euler characteristic were proved in [12].

The properties (1)-(3) stated above are proved in different sections of the paper: Lemma 3.1, Proposition 4.3, Corollary 4.4 and Theorem 8.1. The proof of (4) is included in Section 6, see Theorem 6.5 and the proof of (5) is the subject of Section 7.

We note that the methods traditionally used to prove statements about abstract limit groups can not be used in the pro-p case. First observe that an element of a pro-p group can not be expressed as a finite word of generators; this eliminates the possibility to use combinatorial methods in their original sense. The geometric version of combinatorial group theory, namely the Bass-Serre theory of groups acting on trees, is also heavily used in the theory of abstract limit groups. The profinite, in particular pro-p version of Bass-Serre theory, exists (see for example [19]) but not in full strength. This theory developed by O. Melnikov, L. Ribes and P. Zalesskii [15], [30], [17], [20], [31] is one of the main tools of our paper.

We finish the paper with a section of open questions, where we list further possible properties of the class $\mathcal{L}$.

2. Preliminaries on pro-p groups acting on trees

In this section we collect properties of pro-p groups acting on pro-p trees and free amalgamated pro-p products that will be used in the proofs later on. Further information on this subject can be found in [19].

In accordance with [19] the triple (Γ, d_0, d_1) is a profinite graph, provided, Γ is a boolean space and $d_0, d_1 : \Gamma \to \Gamma$ are continuous with $d_i d_j = d_j$ $(i, j \in \{0, 1\})$. The elements in $V(\Gamma) := d_0(\Gamma) \cup d_1(\Gamma)$ and $E(\Gamma) := \Gamma \setminus V(\Gamma)$ are called the vertices and edges of Γ respectively, and, for $e \in E(\Gamma)$, $d_0(e)$ and $d_1(e)$ are called the initial and terminal vertices of e. Note that d_0, d_1 are the identity when restricted to $V(\Gamma)$. When there is no danger of confusion, we shall simply write Γ instead of (Γ, d_0, d_1).

Let $(E^*, *) = (\Gamma/V(\Gamma), *)$ be a pointed profinite quotient space with $V(\Gamma)$ as a distinguished point. Let $\mathbb{F}_p[[E^*(\Gamma), *]]$ and $\mathbb{F}_p[[V(\Gamma)]]$ be free profinite $\mathbb{F}_p$-modules over the pointed profinite space $(E^*(\Gamma), *)$ and over the profinite space $V(\Gamma)$ (cf. [18]). Then we have the following complex of free profinite $\mathbb{F}_p$-modules

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathbb{F}_p[[E^*(\Gamma), *]] \xrightarrow{\delta} \mathbb{F}_p[[V(\Gamma)]] \xrightarrow{\epsilon} \mathbb{F}_p \longrightarrow 0, \tag{2.1}$$

where $\delta(e) = d_1(e) - d_0(e)$ for all $e \in E^*(\Gamma)$ and $\epsilon(v) = 1$ for all $v \in V(\Gamma)$.

The profinite graph Γ is *connected* if (2.1) is exact in the middle. A *connected component* of Γ is a maximal profinite subgraph that is connected. The graph Γ is called a *pro-p tree* if (2.1) is exact. We say that a pro-p group G acts on the pro-p tree Γ if it acts continuously on Γ and the action commutes with d_0 and d_1. We denote by G_t the stabilizer of $t \in V(T) \cup E(T)$ in G.

Let H, M and S be pro-p groups such that S embeds as a pro-p subgroup of both H and M, and let $G = H \amalg_S M$ be the amalgamated free pro-p product. We say that this amalgamated free pro-p product is proper if H and M embed in G. If the above decomposition of G as an amalgamated free pro-p product is proper there is a pro-p tree T on which G acts. By definition $V(T) = \{gH\}_{g\in G} \cup \{gM\}_{g\in G}$ and $E(G) = \{gS\}_{g\in G}$. For $e = gS \in E(T)$ we have $d_0(e) = gH$ and $d_1(e) = gM$. Note that T/G is just an edge with two vertices. Furthermore if the pro-p group G is finitely generated then T is second countable.

Theorem 2.1. [17, Theorem 3.2] *A free pro-p product with procyclic amalgamation is proper.*

Theorem 2.2. [19, Prop. 3.5, Cor. 3.6] *Let G be a pro-p group acting on a pro-p tree T and $N = \overline{\langle \{G_v\}_{v\in V(T)} \rangle}$. Then G/N acts on the pro-p tree T/N and G/N is a free pro-p group.*

Lemma 2.3. *Let G be a pro-p group acting on a pro-p tree T such that T/G is a pro-p tree. Then $G = \overline{\langle \{G_v\}_{v\in V(T)} \rangle}$.*

Proof. Let $\widetilde{G} = \overline{\langle \{G_v\}_{v\in V(T)} \rangle}$. By Theorem 2.2 $G/\widetilde{G}$ acts freely on $T/\widetilde{G}$. This means that we have a free resolution of $\mathbb{F}_p$ over $\mathbb{F}_p[[G/\widetilde{G}]]$

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathbb{F}_p[[E^*(T/\widetilde{G}), *]] \xrightarrow{\delta} \mathbb{F}_p[[V(T/\widetilde{G})]] \xrightarrow{\epsilon} \mathbb{F}_p \longrightarrow 0.$$

Tensoring it with $\widehat{\otimes}_{\mathbb{F}_p[[G/\widetilde{G}]]}\mathbb{F}_p$ we get the exact sequence (2.1) for T/G implying that $H_1(G/\widetilde{G}, \mathbb{F}_p) = (G/\widetilde{G})/[G/\widetilde{G}, G/\widetilde{G}](G/\widetilde{G})^p = 0$. It follows that $G/\widetilde{G}$ is trivial, as needed. □

Theorem 2.4. [19, Thm. 3.18] *Let G be a pro-p group acting on a pro-p tree T. Then one of the following holds :*

a) G is the stabilizer of a vertex of T;

b) G has a free non-abelian pro-p subgroup P such that $P \cap G_v = 1$ for every vertex v of T;

c) There exists an edge e of T whose stabilizer G_e is normal in G and the quotient group G/G_e is solvable and isomorphic to the one of the following groups : $\mathbb{Z}_p$ or an infinite dihedral pro-2 group $C_2 \amalg C_2$.

The above theorem implies immediately the following result.

Corollary 2.5. *Let G be a torsion pro-p group acting on a pro-p tree. Then G fixes a vertex.*

Theorem 2.6. [15, Thm. 5.6] *Let G be a pro-p group acting on a second countable (as topological space) pro-p tree T with trivial edge stabilizers. Then G is a free pro-p product of some vertex stabilizers G_v and a free pro-p group F.*

Theorem 2.7. [31, Thm. B] *Let $H = Q \amalg_C A$ be a proper amalgamated free pro-p product and B be a normal subgroup of A such that $B \cap C = 1$. Let $G = \overline{\langle B^g \rangle}_{g \in H}$. Then G is the free pro-p product of groups B^q where q runs over a closed set of coset representatives for C in Q.*

The above theorem was stated in [31, Thm. B] using the language of fundamental groups of profinite graphs of profinite groups, and it was observed that the statement holds for pro-$\mathcal{C}$ groups, where $\mathcal{C}$ is a class of finite groups closed under subgroups, quotients and extensions. Note that H acts on the standard pro-p tree T associated with the free amalgamated pro-p product.

Lemma 2.8. *Let G be a profinite group acting on a profinite graph S and let m_1, m_2 be elements of a connected component C of S. If $g \in G$ with $gm_1 = m_2$, then g leaves C invariant, i.e. $g \in Stab_G(C)$. In other words the restriction of the factorization $S \longrightarrow S/G$ to C coincides with the factorization $C \longrightarrow C/Stab_G(C)$.*

Proof. Since $m_2 \in C \cap gC$, $C \cup gC$ is connected (cf. [19, Exercise 1.9 (i)]), so $C = gC$. □

3. Definition of pro-p analogues of limit groups via extensions of centralizers

First we define recursively a class of pro-p groups $\mathcal{G}_n$. Denote by $\mathcal{G}_0$ the class of all free pro-p groups of finite rank. Define inductively the class $\mathcal{G}_n$ of pro-p groups G_n, where G_n is a free pro-p amalgamated product $G_{n-1} \amalg_C A$, where G_{n-1} is any group from the class $\mathcal{G}_{n-1}$, C is any self-centralized procyclic pro-p subgroup of G_{n-1}, A is any finite rank free abelian pro-p group such that C is a direct summand of A. In Lemma 3.1 we will show that every G_n is torsion-free.

Definition. *The class of pro-p groups $\mathcal{L}$ consists of all finitely generated pro-p subgroups H of some $G_n \in \mathcal{G}_n$ where $n \geq 0$. If n is minimal one with the above properties we say that H has weight n.*

Examples. Note that all Demushkin pro-p groups H with the invariant $q = \infty$ have pro-p presentation $\langle x_1, \ldots, x_d \mid [x_1, x_2] \ldots [x_{d-1}, x_d] \rangle$ where d is even. Write H_d for the above group. If $d = 2$ then H_2 is a free abelian pro-p group of finite rank, so a pro-p group of the class $\mathcal{L}$.

Suppose that d is divisable by 4 and define F_1 as the free pro-p group with basis $x_1, \ldots, x_{d/2}$ and F_2 the free pro-p group with basis $x_{d/2+1}, \ldots, x_d$. Let C_1 be the procyclic subgroup of F_1 generated by $z_1 = [x_1, x_2] \ldots [x_{d/2-1}, x_{d/2}]$ and C_2 be the procyclic subgroup of F_2 generated by $z_2 = [x_{d/2+1}, x_{d/2+2}] \ldots [x_{d-1}, x_d]$. Then $H \simeq F_1 \amalg_{C_1 \simeq C_2} F_2$ where the isomorphism between C_1 and C_2 sends z_1 to z_2^{-1}. Note that there is an isomorphism between F_1 and F_2 that identifies C_1 and C_2 i.e. $x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_{d/2}$ go to $x_d, x_{d-1}, \ldots, x_{d/2+1}$. Thus $H \simeq F \amalg_C F$ where F is a free pro-p group of rank $d/2$ and C is a selfcentralized procyclic subgroup of F. Note that $H \simeq F \amalg_C F$ embeds in $T = F \amalg_C A$, where $A \simeq \mathbb{Z}_p^2$ with $A/C \simeq \langle a \rangle$, since $F \amalg_C F \simeq F \amalg_C F^a$ is the pro-p subgroup of T generated by F and F^a. Thus H is a pro-p group of the class $\mathcal{L}$.

Lemma 3.1. *The groups $G_n \in \mathcal{G}_n$ are always of finite cohomological dimension. In particular every pro-p group from the class $\mathcal{L}$ has finite cohomological dimension and so is torsion free.*

Proof. We induct on n, the case $n = 0$ is obvious. Suppose $n \geq 1$. Since G_{n-1}, A and C all have finite cohomological dimensions, we have that for sufficiently large i, $i \geq i_0$ say, all cohomology groups $H^i(G_{n-1}, \mathbb{F}_p)$, $H^i(A, \mathbb{F}_p)$ and $H^i(C, \mathbb{F}_p)$ are zero. By Theorem 2.1 the free pro-p product $G_n = G_{n-1} \amalg_C A$ is proper and hence there is corresponding long exact sequence in homology and cohomology. Then $H^{i+1}(G_n, \mathbb{F}_p) = 0$ for $i \geq i_0$ and so by [18, Cor. 7.1.6] G_n has finite cohomological dimension. □

4. Free-by-(torsion free poly-procyclic) pro-p groups

In this section we prove some properties of the pro-p groups of the class $\mathcal{L}$ that are known to hold for abstract limit groups. The facts that abstract limit groups are free-by-(torsion-free nilpotent) and the Euler characteristic is non-positive were proved in [12]. The fact that abstract limit groups are of type FP_∞ follows directly from Bass-Serre theory but this theory does not hold for pro-p groups in general. So we find an alternative way of proving that the pro-p groups of the class $\mathcal{L}$ are of type FP_∞.

The next lemma is valid for free pro-p products of free abelian pro-p groups that can be expressed as an inverse limit of free pro-p products of finitely many free abelian pro-p groups. This is true for example for free pro-p products $M = \coprod_{w \in W} M_w$ of pro-p groups M_w indexed locally constantly by a profinite space W introduced in [3] (see Proposition 2.1 there). This means that W is a finite union of clopen subsets W_α such that $M_v = M_w$ for $v, w \in W_\alpha$.

Lemma 4.1. *Let M be a locally constant free pro-p product*

$$M = \coprod_{w \in W} M_w$$

where W is a profinite space and every M_w is a finite rank free abelian pro-p group. Then the quotients of the lower central series of M are torsion-free.

Proof. It suffices to prove the lemma for W finite and then take inverse limit. For W finite we use the pro-p version of Magnus embedding proved by Lazard [13]. Let Y_w be a basis of M_w as a free abelian pro-p group and X_w be a set of the same cardinality as Y_w. Set X as the disjoint union $\cup_{w \in W} X_w$ and consider the ring of non-commutative formal power series $R = \mathbb{Z}_p[[X]]$. The closed group generated by $Y = \{1 + x\}_{x \in X}$ is a free pro-p group with a basis Y.

Let I be the closed two sided ideal of R generated by $\{x_i x_j - x_j x_i \mid x_i, x_j \in X_w, w \in W\}$ and set $S = R/I$. Then the closed group T generated by the image of Y in S is isomorphic to M (see Theorem 5.9a in [20]). Consider the closed two-sided ideal J of S generated by the image of the elements of X in S. Then the $(i-1)$-th quotient of the lower central series of M is isomorphic to $((T-1) \cap J^{i-1})/((T-1) \cap J^i)$. Note that $((T-1) \cap J^{i-1})/((T-1) \cap J^i)$ embeds in the free $\mathbb{Z}_p$-module J^{i-1}/J^i, so $((T-1) \cap J^{i-1})/((T-1) \cap J^i)$ is torsion-free. □

We do not know whether every $G_n \in \mathcal{G}_n$ is residually torsion-free nilpotent pro-p though abstract limit group are residually torsion-free nilpotent [12]. Still we can prove that G_n is residually torsion-free poly-procyclic.

Theorem 4.2. *Every $G_n \in \mathcal{G}_n$ is an inverse limit of torsion-free poly-procyclic groups and so is residually torsion-free poly-procyclic.*

Proof. We use induction on n. Note that G_0 is a free pro-p group of finite rank, hence residually torsion-free nilpotent pro-p.

Suppose now that $G_n = G_{n-1} \amalg_C A$, $C \simeq \mathbb{Z}_p, A = C \times B \simeq \mathbb{Z}_p^m$ and G_{n-1} is residually torsion-free poly-procyclic. Then the intersection of the kernels of all maps $G_{n-1} \amalg_C A \to Q \amalg_C A$,whose restriction to G_{n-1} is a projection to a poly-procyclic torsion-free group Q and whose restriction to B is the identity map, is trivial. To see this let $\psi : G_{n-1} \longrightarrow \prod_{i=1}^{\infty} P_i$ be an embedding of G_{n-1} in a direct product of poly-procyclic pro-p groups. Denote by $\psi_k : G_{n-1} \longrightarrow \prod_{i=1}^{k} P_i$ the composite of ψ with the projection $\prod_{i=1}^{\infty} P_i \longrightarrow \prod_{i=1}^{k} P_i$ and put $\psi_k(G_{n-1}) = Q_k$. Then G_{n-1} is the inverse limit of the groups Q_k and hence the (descending) intersection $\bigcap B_k$ (that can be viewed as the inverse limit) of kernels B_k of ψ_k is trivial. The kernel N_k of the map $G_{n-1} \amalg_C A \to Q_k \amalg_C A$ is exactly $\overline{\langle B_k^g \rangle}_{g \in G_n}$ and so by Theorem 2.7 it is the free pro-p product of groups B_k^q where q runs over a closed set of coset representatives for C in A. But the inverse limit of the groups B_k is trivial, hence so is the inverse limit of the groups N_k.

Thus it remains to show that a proper amalgamated product $H = Q \amalg_C A$, where Q is torsion-free poly-procyclic, the image of C in Q is infinite (and so identified with $C \simeq \mathbb{Z}_p$) and C is a direct summand of A, is residually torsion-free poly-procyclic.

Let $\theta : H = Q \amalg_C A \to Q$ be the homomorphism that is the identity map on Q and the projection of A to C with kernel B. Then the kernel of θ is the normal closure of $B = ker(\theta) \cap A$ in H. Since $ker(\theta) \cap Q^g = (ker(\theta) \cap Q)^g = 1$ by Theorem 2.7 $ker(\theta)$ is a free pro-p product of B^g over some closed subset S of representatives of the coset classes of Q/C. Let U be a normal open subgroup of Q and μ_U be the homomorphism from $ker(\theta)$ to the free pro-p product $V_U = \coprod_{g \in Q/UC} B^g$ that identifies the copies of B^g when g represent the same element in the image of S in Q/U. Then $\cap ker(\mu_U) = 1$ and it suffices to produce a filtration of characteristic subgroups of V_U with torsion-free finitely generated abelian quotients. By Lemma 4.1 the lower central series of V_U has this property. □

Proposition 4.3. *Every pro-p group from the class $\mathcal{L}$ is free-by-(torsion free poly-procyclic).*

Proof. It suffices to prove the proposition for $G_n \in \mathcal{G}_n$, by induction on n. The case when $n = 0$ is obvious, so we assume that $n \neq 0$. Suppose the statement is true for any group $\in \mathcal{G}_{n-1}$. Suppose there is a counterexample $\in \mathcal{G}_n$ and let $G_n = G_{n-1} \amalg_C A$ be one with the minimal number of generators $d(G_n)$. Let T be a complement of $C \simeq \mathbb{Z}_p$ in $A \simeq \mathbb{Z}_p^m$, so $T \simeq \mathbb{Z}_p^{m-1}$. Let $D \simeq \mathbb{Z}_p$ be a direct summand of T, i.e. $T = B \times D$ (with B possibly the trivial group) and $\bar{G}_n$ be the quotient of G_n by the normal closure of D. Then $\bar{G}_n \simeq G_{n-1} \amalg_C \mathbb{Z}_p^{m-1}$. By Theorem 4.2 $\bar{G}_n = \varprojlim Q_i$ is an inverse limit of torsion-free poly-procyclic pro-p groups. Thus for certain i the restriction to C of the projection $G_n \longrightarrow Q_i$ is injective. Denote by φ the canonical map $\bar{G}_n \to Q_i$. By the induction hypothesis and the minimality assumption on $d(G_n)$ there is an epimorphism $\mu : \bar{G}_n \longrightarrow P$ to a poly-procyclic group P with the free kernel. Thus putting Q to be the quotient of $\bar{G}_n$ modulo $Ker(\mu) \cap Ker(\varphi)$ we have an epimorphism $\eta : \bar{G}_n \to Q$ with free kernel whose restriction to C is injective.

Let $\theta : G_n \to \bar{G}_n \to Q$ be the composition of η and the natural epimorphism $\nu : G_n \to \bar{G}_n$ and let K be its kernel. Since $K \cap C = 1$, by Theorem 2.6 K is the free pro-p product of some conjugates of $K \cap G_{n-1}$, $K \cap A$ and a free pro-p group F. But the restriction of ν to $G_{n-1} \amalg_C B$ is injective and so $K \cap (G_{n-1} \amalg_C B)$ is naturally isomorphic to the kernel of η, which is free pro-p. So the kernel of $\theta_{|G_{n-1}}$ is free since it is a subgroup of $K \cap (G_{n-1} \amalg_C B)$. Furthermore, the intersection $K \cap A$ is at most procyclic. Thus K is free pro-p. □

Corollary 4.4. *Every pro-p group G from the class $\mathcal{L}$ is of type FP_∞. In particular, G is finitely presented.*

Proof. Let N be a closed normal subgroup of G such that $Q = G/N$ is a torsion-free poly-procyclic pro-p group, in particular is of finite rank, and N is a free pro-p group. Then by [5, Thm. A] G is of type FP_m if and only if $H_i(N, \mathbb{F}_p)$ is finitely generated as a $\mathbb{F}_p[[Q]]$-module for every $i \leq m$. Since G is of type FP_1 (i.e. finitely generated as a pro-p group) $H_1(N, \mathbb{F}_p)$ is finitely generated as a $\mathbb{F}_p[[Q]]$-module. Since N is free pro-p we have that $H_i(N, \mathbb{F}_p) = 0$ for $i \geq 2$. Thus G is of type FP_m for every m. □

Corollary 4.5. *Every non-trivial pro-p group G from the class $\mathcal{L}$ has infinite abelianization.*

Proof. By Proposition 4.3 G is residually torsion-free poly-procyclic. Any torsion-free poly-procyclic group has infinite abelianization. The result follows. □

5. Normalizers and centralizers

Theorem 5.1. *Let G be a pro-p group from the class $\mathcal{L}$. Then for any $g \in G \setminus \{1\}$*

$$N_G(\overline{\langle g \rangle}) = C_G(\overline{\langle g \rangle}) \textit{ is a free abelian pro-p group of finite rank.}$$

Proof. Note that it is sufficient to consider the case $G = G_n \in \mathcal{G}$. We argue by induction on n.

I. Suppose first that $n = 0$. Note that every closed subgroup of a free pro-p group is free pro-p [18, Cor. 7.7.5]. Therefore $N = N_{G_0}(\overline{\langle g \rangle})$ is free pro-p and by [18, Proposition 8.6.3] the rank of N is not bigger than the rank of $\overline{\langle g \rangle}$ so that $N \simeq \mathbb{Z}_p$, in particular N is abelian. Thus $N \subseteq C_{G_0}(\overline{\langle g \rangle})$, hence $C_{G_0}(\overline{\langle g \rangle}) = N_{G_0}(\overline{\langle g \rangle}) \simeq \mathbb{Z}_p$ as required.

II. Suppose now that $n > 0$ and that the theorem holds for G_{n-1}. Thus $G = G_{n-1} \amalg_C A$, where $C \cong \mathbb{Z}_p$, $A \cong \mathbb{Z}_p^m$ and C is a direct factor of A. Suppose first that $N_{G_n}(\overline{\langle g \rangle}) \neq C_{G_n}(\overline{\langle g \rangle})$ and choose $t \in N_{G_n}(\overline{\langle g \rangle}) \setminus C_{G_n}(\overline{\langle g \rangle})$. Thus $g^t = g^\lambda$ for some $\lambda \in \mathbb{Z}_p \setminus (1 \cup p\mathbb{Z}_p)$. Put $M = \langle t, g \rangle$ and observe that it is solvable but not abelian.

Since G_n splits as a proper free pro-p product with amalgamation, G_n acts on the canonical pro-p tree from Section 2 and M acts on the same pro-p tree by restriction. By Theorem 2.4 either $M = M_v$ i.e. M is contained in the stabilizer G_v of a vertex or there is a stabilizer of an edge M_e such that M_e is a normal subgroup of M and either $M/M_e \simeq \mathbb{Z}_p$ or $M/M_e \simeq C_2 \amalg C_2$ (in this case $p = 2$). If $M = M_v$ we may assume that M is a subgroup of G_{n-1} or $\mathbb{Z}_p^m$ and so by induction hypothesis M is abelian, a contradiction.

Let us consider the latter case. By conjugating M_e if necessary we may assume that M_e is in C. Since M_e is cyclic, by [20, Corollary 2.7]

$$N_G(M_e) = N_{G_{n-1}}(M_e) \amalg_C N_A(M_e) = C \amalg_C A = A \tag{5.1}$$

and so $M \leq N_G(M_e) = A$ is abelian, a contradiction with M solvable but not abelian. Thus we have proved that

$$N_{G_n}(\overline{\langle g \rangle}) = C_{G_n}(\overline{\langle g \rangle}).$$

III. Finally it remains to show that $C_{G_n}(g)$ is a finitely generated abelian pro-p group. By [19, Lemma 3.11] there is a minimal pro-p subtree T_1 on which $C_{G_n}(g)$ acts. If T_1 is just one vertex, $C_{G_n}(g)$ is conjugate to a subgroup of either G_{n-1} or A and so we deduce the result from the induction hypothesis.

Suppose $|T_1| > 1$. Denote by K the kernel of the action of $C_{G_n}(g)$ on T_1. Then K is a subgroup of every edge stabilizer of the action of $C_{G_n}(g)$ on T_1, but any edge stabilizer is procyclic. In particular K is procyclic.

There are two cases. First if $g \in K$ then g is in the stabilizer G_e of an edge and this case can be resolved by applying (5.1). If $g \notin K$ note that $C_{G_n}(g)/K$ acts on T_1/K faithfully irreducibly and so by [19, Lemma 3.16 (b)] $C_{G_n}(g)/K$ is free pro-p containing a procyclic non-trivial normal subgroup $\langle g \rangle K/K$, hence procyclic (cf. [18, Proposition 8.6.3]). Then $C_{G_n}(g)$ is procyclic-by-procyclic, which corresponds exactly to the case treated in II; indeed, in II it was shown that the procyclic-by-procyclic group M is always abelian. □

Corollary 5.2. *The group G_n is transitive commutative i.e. if $[g,h] = 1 = [h,t]$ for some non-trivial elements g,h,t of G_n then $[g,t] = 1$.*

Proof. Note that $g, t \in C_{G_n}(h)$ and $C_{G_n}(h)$ is abelian by Theorem 5.1. □

Corollary 5.3. *If G is a non-abelian pro-p group from the class $\mathcal{L}$ then its center is trivial.*

Proof. If $g_1, g_2 \in G$ and $h \in Z(G) \setminus \{1\}$ then $[h, g_1] = [h, g_2] = 1$ and by transitive commutativity $[g_1, g_2] = 1$ i.e. G is abelian, a contradiction. □

Corollary 5.4. *Every virtually abelian pro-p group G from the class $\mathcal{L}$ is abelian.*

Proof. Let H be a maximal abelian subgroup of G. If $G \neq H$ then take $g \in G \setminus H$ and note that for some $m > 1$ we have $g^m \in H \setminus \{1\}$. Then $[g, g^m] = 1 = [g^m, H]$ and by transitive commutativity $[g, H] = 1$ i.e. $\langle H, g \rangle$ is an abelian subgroup of G, a contradiction with the maximality of H. □

Corollary 5.5. *Every soluble pro-p group H from the class $\mathcal{L}$ is abelian. If H is an abelian non-procyclic subgroup of $G_n = G_{n-1} \amalg_C A$ then H is conjugate in G_n to a subgroup of G_{n-1} or to a subgroup of A.*

Proof. Let T be the canonical pro-p tree on which G_n acts (see Section 2). Then by Theorem 2.4 from the preliminaries either H stabilizes a vertex or there is an edge e such that H/H_e is either $\mathbb{Z}_p$ or $C_2 \amalg C_2$.

In the first case H is either conjugate to a subgroup of G_{n-1} or H is a subgroup of an abelian vertex stabilizer. Using induction on n in both cases H is abelian.

Suppose that $H/H_e \simeq \mathbb{Z}_p$. If $H_e = 1$ there is nothing to prove, so we can assume that $H_e \neq 1$. Since H_e is procyclic we conclude that $H_e \simeq \mathbb{Z}_p$. By Theorem 5.1 $N_{G_n}(H_e)$ is abelian. But $H \subseteq N_{G_n}(H_e)$ so H is abelian. Moreover, substituting in

(5.1) M with H and conjugating H_e if necessary to have $H_e \subseteq C$ we get that H is conjugate to a subgroup of an abelian vertex group.

Suppose that $H/H_e \simeq C_2 \amalg C_2$. If $H_e = 1$ then $C_2 \amalg C_2$ embeds in H but by Lemma 3.1 H is torsion free, a contradiction. If $H_e \neq 1$ then $H_e \simeq \mathbb{Z}_2$ and $H = N_H(H_e)$. By Theorem 5.1 $N_H(H_e)$ is abelian and hence $H/H_e \simeq C_2 \amalg C_2$ is abelian, a contradiction. □

6. FINITELY GENERATED NORMAL SUBGROUPS

Lemma 6.1. *Let G be a pro-p group with a lower central series with torsion-free quotients and $\varphi \in Aut(G)$ be an automorphism of finite order that acts trivially on the abelianization $G/[G,G]$. Then φ is trivial.*

Proof. The proof is similar to the proofs of [18, Thm. 4.5.6], [14, Lemma, p.323] where the case of a finite rank free pro-p group G is considered.

Let $\gamma_i(G)$ be the ith term of the lower central series of G. We prove by induction on i that φ acts trivially on $G/\gamma_i(G)$. Suppose we know that $\varphi(g) = gm$ for some $g \in G, m \in \gamma_i(G)$. We aim to show that $m \in \gamma_{i+1}(G)$. Note that since φ acts trivially on $G/\gamma_2(G)$ we have that φ acts trivially on $\gamma_i(G)/\gamma_{i+1}(G)$. Let k be the order of φ. Then $g = \varphi^k(g) = gm\varphi(m)\varphi^2(m)\dots\varphi^{k-1}(m) \in gm^k\gamma_{i+1}(G)$. Then the image of m in $\gamma_i(G)/\gamma_{i+1}(G)$ has finite order. Finally since $\gamma_i(G)/\gamma_{i+1}(G)$ is torsion-free we get that $m \in \gamma_{i+1}(G)$, as required. □

Theorem 6.2. *Let H be a pro-p group acting on a pro-p tree T with a finitely generated normal pro-p subgroup L such that $H/L \simeq \mathbb{Z}_p$. Then there is an open subgroup H_1 of H containing L such that $H_1/\widetilde{L} = (L/\widetilde{L}) \times \mathbb{Z}_p$, where $\widetilde{L} = \overline{\langle L_v \rangle}_{v \in V}$ and V is the vertex set of T.*

Proof. Without loss of generality we can assume that $L \neq \widetilde{L}$ otherwise there is nothing to prove. Let U be an open subgroup of H such that $L \subseteq U$. Set $\widetilde{U} = \overline{\langle U_v \rangle}_{v \in V}$. By Theorem 2.2 the group $H/\widetilde{U}$ acts on the pro-p tree $T/\widetilde{U}$ and since $U/\widetilde{U}$ acts freely, $U/\widetilde{U}$ is a free pro-p group of finite rank. Let V_U be the maximal subgroup of H such that $U \subseteq V_U$ and $V_U/\widetilde{U}$ is a free pro-p group. Then $H/\widetilde{U} = (V_U/\widetilde{U}) \rtimes C_d$, where $[H : V_U] = d$ and C_d denotes the cyclic group of order d. Define $V = \cap_U V_U$.

Claim. $V = L$.

Proof of the Claim. Assume that $V \neq L$. Then V is an open subgroup of H and $V_U = V$ for infinitely many U such that $\cap U = L$. Consider the normal subgroup $L\widetilde{U}/\widetilde{U}$ of the free pro-p group $V/\widetilde{U}$, where $V/\widetilde{U}$ has rank at most $d(L)+1$. Note that $L\widetilde{U}/\widetilde{U}$ is finitely generated and normal in a finitely generated free pro-p group. By [18, Proposition 8.6.13] either $L\widetilde{U}/\widetilde{U}$ is trivial or of finite index in $V/\widetilde{U}$. In the first case $L \subseteq \widetilde{U}$, so $L \subseteq \cap\widetilde{U} = \widetilde{L}$, a contradiction. Then we can assume that $L\widetilde{U}/\widetilde{U}$ has finite index in $V/\widetilde{U}$, say m_U and by Schreier's formula $d(L\widetilde{U}/\widetilde{U}) - 1 = m_U(d(V/\widetilde{U}) - 1)$.

Suppose that $d(V/\widetilde{U}) \neq 1$. Then $d(L) - 1 \geq d(L\widetilde{U}/\widetilde{U}) - 1 = m_U(d(V/\widetilde{U}) - 1) \geq m_U$. Thus m_U is bounded from above and so $[H : L\widetilde{U}] = [H : V][V : L\widetilde{U}] = [H : V]m_U \leq [H : V](d(L) - 1) < \infty$. The intersection of $L\widetilde{U}$ over U is $L\widetilde{L} = L$ and on the other hand $L\widetilde{U}$ has bounded index in H and $H/L \simeq \mathbb{Z}_p$, a contradiction.

Finally it remains to consider the case when $d(V/\widetilde{U}) = 1$. Consider a sequence of open subgroups $U_1 \supseteq U_2 \supseteq \ldots \supseteq \cap_i U_i = L$ such that $V_{U_i} = V, d(V/\widetilde{U}_i) = 1$. Since $V/\widetilde{U}_i \simeq \mathbb{Z}_p$ is a quotient of $V/\widetilde{U}_{i+1} \simeq \mathbb{Z}_p$ we deduce that $\widetilde{U}_{i+1} = \widetilde{U}_i$. Note that $\widetilde{L}$ is the intersection of $\widetilde{U}_i$'s i.e. $\widetilde{L} = \cap_i \widetilde{U}_i = \widetilde{U}_i$. Thus $\widetilde{L} = \widetilde{U}_1 \subseteq L \subseteq U_1$. Note that $U_1/\widetilde{U}_1$ is a subgroup of $V/\widetilde{U}_1 \simeq \mathbb{Z}_p$, so either $U_1/\widetilde{U}_1$ is trivial or is $\mathbb{Z}_p$. In the first case $L = \widetilde{L}$, a contradiction and in the second case U_1/L is a proper quotient of $U_1/\widetilde{U}_1 \simeq \mathbb{Z}_p$ (remember $L \neq \widetilde{L}$), so U_1/L is finite and a subgroup of $H/L \simeq \mathbb{Z}_p$, so $U_1 = L$, a contradiction with U_1 an open subgroup of H. This completes the proof of the claim.

Let us fix one U as above i.e. U is an open subgroup of H that contains L and write $F_U = V_U/\widetilde{U}$. Furthermore we can choose U such that $s = [H : V_U] > 1$ and write $M_U = H/\widetilde{U} = F_U \rtimes C_s$. Note that H acts by conjugation on the abelianization $F_U/[F_U, F_U] \simeq \mathbb{Z}_p^{d(F_U)}$, where $d(F_U) \leq d(V_U) \leq d(L) + 1$. This gives a homomorphism

$$\varphi_U : H \to GL_{d(F_U)}(\mathbb{Z}_p)$$

such that $V_U \subseteq Ker(\varphi_U)$. Note that for a fixed d there is an upper bound to the order of finite cyclic subgroups of $GL_d(\mathbb{Z}_p)$. Indeed by [2, Thm 5.2] the first principal congruence subgroup for p odd and the second principal congruence subgroup for $p = 2$ is uniform and therefore is torsion-free. Thus $[H : Ker(\varphi_U)]$ is bounded by some number depending on $d(F_U)$.

Since $d(F_U)$ is bounded by $d(L) + 1$, the index $[H : Ker(\varphi_U)]$ is bounded by a number depending on $d(L)$ as well, so there is some subgroup of finite index H_1 in H containing L and such that $H_1 \subseteq Ker(\varphi_U)$ for every U.

By Lemma 6.1 every automorphism of finite order of F_U that induces the identity map on $F_U/[F_U, F_U]$ is identity. Then for an open subgroup U such that $V_U \subseteq H_1$ we have $H_1/\widetilde{U} = (V_U/\widetilde{U}) \rtimes (H_1/V_U) = (V_U/\widetilde{U}) \times (H_1/V_U)$, so either $H_1/\widetilde{U}$ is abelian, in this case $V_U/\widetilde{U}$ is procyclic, or $H_1/\widetilde{U}$ is non-abelian and the copy of H_1/V_U is the center of $H_1/\widetilde{U}$, in this case $V_U/\widetilde{U}$ is a non-procyclic free pro-p. By taking the inverse limit over U we get

$$H_1/\widetilde{L} = (L/\widetilde{L}) \rtimes (H_1/L) \simeq (L/\widetilde{L}) \times (H_1/L),$$

where $H_1/L \simeq \mathbb{Z}_p$. □

Lemma 6.3. *Let H be a pro-p group acting on a second countable pro-p tree T with procyclic edge stabilizers and finite rank free abelian pro-p vertex groups. Let L be a finitely generated normal closed subgroup of H such that $H/L \cong \mathbb{Z}_p$ and $He = Le$ for every edge $e \in E(T)$. Assume further that there is a decomposition $H = L \rtimes \mathbb{Z}_p$, where $\mathbb{Z}_p$ has a generator g that fixes an edge of T and such that for every vertex v of the tree T we have $H_v = L_v \times \overline{\langle g l_v^{-1} \rangle}$ for some $l_v \in \widetilde{L} = \overline{\langle L_v \rangle}_{v \in V(T)}$. Then g commutes with $\widetilde{L}$.*

Proof. Note that $Le = He$ and H_e procyclic implies that $L_e = 1$ for every edge e of T. Then by Theorem 2.6

$$L \simeq L_0 \amalg (L/\widetilde{L}) \text{ and } L_0 = \coprod_{v \in V_0} L_v,$$

where V_0 is a subset of the vertex set V and $\widetilde{L}$ is the normal closure of L_0 in L.

Note that V_0 is finite since L is finitely generated. It follows from the pro-p version of the Kurosh Subgroup Theorem [15] that

$$\widetilde{L} = \coprod_{v \in V_0} \coprod_{w} L_w = \coprod_{v \in V_1} L_v,$$

where w runs over closed set of representatives of the orbit Lv and V_1 is a closed subset of V. In particular, this is a locally constant free pro-p product.

Observe that $He = Le$ for every edge e implies $Hv = Lv$ for every vertex v. Recall that by assumption H_v is abelian and for every $v \in V$ and for $m \in L_v$ we have $m^g = m^{l_v}$ for some $l_v \in \widetilde{L}$. This implies the following

Claim 1. The action of g via conjugation on $\widetilde{L}^{ab} = \prod_{v \in V_1} L_v$ is trivial.

Let $M = \langle \widetilde{L}, g \rangle = \widetilde{L} \rtimes \overline{\langle g \rangle}$ and U be the closed subgroup of H generated by $(g^{p^s})^M$.

Claim 2. $L_v^h \cap U = 1$ for every $v \in V_1, h \in M$.

Indeed $L_v \cap U \subset U \cap \widetilde{L} = \overline{[g^{p^s}, \widetilde{L}]}$ and $\widetilde{L} = \coprod_{v \in V_1} L_v$. Since L_v survives in the abelianization of $\widetilde{L}$ and by Claim 1 the group U acts trivially on the abelianization of $\widetilde{L}$ we get that $\overline{[g^{p^s}, \widetilde{L}]} \cap L_v = 1$. This completes the proof of the claim.

In the rest of the proof overlining means image in the quotient group M/U and should not be confused with the closure. Consider the group $\overline{M} = M/U$ and the image $\overline{\widetilde{L}} = \widetilde{L}/(U \cap \widetilde{L})$ of $\widetilde{L}$ in $\overline{M}$. Since U is generated by stabilizers of edges (hence of vertices) $\overline{M}$ acts on the pro-p tree T/U (cf. Theorem 2.2) with vertex stabilizers $L_vU/U \simeq L_v$. As before $\overline{\widetilde{L}}$ intersects edge stabilizers trivially and since $\widetilde{L}$ is generated by vertex stabilizers the same holds for $\overline{\widetilde{L}}$. Hence

$$\overline{\widetilde{L}} = \coprod_{w \in W} \overline{L}_w$$

where $\overline{L}_w$ are some pairwise not conjugated vertex groups of $\overline{\widetilde{L}}$. Then by Claim 2 $\overline{L}_w \simeq L_v$ for some vertex group L_v of L.

Write $\bar{g}$ for the image of g in $\overline{M}$. Then by Claim 1

(6.1) $\qquad \overline{g}$ acts trivially (via conjugation) on the abelianization of $\overline{\widetilde{L}}$.

Recall that every L_v is a finite rank free abelian group. Note that $(U \cap \widetilde{L})$ is contained in the kernel of the natural epimorphism $L \longrightarrow \prod_{v \in V_0} L_v$ restricted to $\widetilde{L}$ and so we have a natural epimorphism $\coprod_{w \in W} \overline{L}_w \longrightarrow \prod_{v \in V_0} L_v$, so the free pro-$p$ product

$$\overline{\widetilde{L}} = \coprod_{w \in W} \overline{L}_w$$

is also locally constant. Then $\overline{\widetilde{L}}$ has torsion-free abelianization and by Lemma 4.1 $\overline{\widetilde{L}}$ has a torsion-free lower central series. Then since $\overline{g}$ has finite order we deduce from Lemma 6.1 that $\overline{g}$ acts trivially on $\overline{\widetilde{L}}$. Taking an inverse limit over U (i.e. s goes to infinity) we get that g acts trivially on $\widetilde{L}$ as required. $\square$

Lemma 6.4. *Let H be a pro-p group from the class $\mathcal{L}$ with a finitely generated normal pro-p subgroup L such that $H/L \simeq \mathbb{Z}_p$. Then H is abelian.*

Proof. Let $H = L \rtimes \mathbb{Z}_p$ be a non-abelian pro-p group from the class $\mathcal{L}$ such that L is finitely generated as a pro-p group. Let n be the smallest non-negative integer such that $H \subseteq G_n \in \mathcal{G}_n$ i.e. n is the weight of H. We can assume that our counterexample H is minimal in the sense that $(n, d(G_n))$ is smallest possible with respect to the lexicographic order, where $d(G_n)$ is the minimal number of generators of G_n.

The group G_n acts on a second countable pro-p tree S with procyclic edge stabilizers. By [19, Lemma 3.11] H acts irreducibly on a pro-p subtree T of S with procyclic edge stabilizers. Let $\widetilde{L} = \overline{\langle L_v \rangle}_{v \in V(T)}$.

Claim 1. We claim that H acts faithfully on T.

Proof. Indeed if the action of H on T is not faithful since the edge stabilizers are procyclic we get that the kernel of the action is $K \simeq \mathbb{Z}_p$. Then for any $h \in H \setminus K$ the closed subgroup of H generated by K and h is metabelian. But any soluble pro-p group from the class $\mathcal{L}$ is abelian, see Corollary 5.5. Thus $K \subseteq Z(H)$, a contradiction to Corollary 5.3.

This way we can assume from now on that H acts faithfully on T. By Theorem 6.2 there is an open subgroup H_1 of H containing L such that

$$H_1/\widetilde{L} \simeq (L/\widetilde{L}) \times M \text{ where } M \simeq \mathbb{Z}_p.$$

Claim 2. The group H_1 acts irreducibly on T.

Proof. By Claim 1 H acts faithfully irreducibly on T. Since H_1 is non-trivial, by [19, Prop. 3.14] H_1 acts irreducibly on T. The claim is proved.

By Corollary 5.4 every virtually abelian pro-p group from the class $\mathcal{L}$ is abelian, so H_1 is not abelian. Then without loss of generality we can assume that $H = H_1$.

The pro-p group $H/\widetilde{L}$ acts on $T/\widetilde{L}$ and by [19, Lemma 3.11] contains a minimal $H/\widetilde{L}$ invariant subtree T_1 i.e. $H/\widetilde{L}$ acts irreducibly on the pro-p tree T_1.

Let N be the kernel of the action of $H/\widetilde{L}$ on T_1 and so the quotient group $B = (H/\widetilde{L})/N$ acts irreducibly and faithfully on T_1. By [19, Lemma 3.16] every non-trivial abelian normal subgroup A of B is isomorphic to $\mathbb{Z}_p$ and $C_B(A)$ is a free pro-p group, hence procyclic. Let A be the image of M in B. If A is trivial we get that M acts trivially on T_1.

Suppose that A is non-trivial. Then $A \simeq \mathbb{Z}_p \simeq B$. On the other hand $L/\widetilde{L}$ acts freely on T_1, so $(L/\widetilde{L}) \cap N = 1$. Then the image of $L/\widetilde{L}$ in $B = \mathbb{Z}_p$ is isomorphic to $L/\widetilde{L}$, so $L/\widetilde{L}$ is either trivial or $\mathbb{Z}_p$. It follows that either $H/\widetilde{L} \simeq \mathbb{Z}_p^2$ or $H/\widetilde{L} \simeq M \simeq \mathbb{Z}_p$ and $L = \widetilde{L}$. In the first case since $B \simeq \mathbb{Z}_p$ by changing M with another copy of $\mathbb{Z}_p$ inside $H/\widetilde{L}$ we can reduce to the case when $M \subseteq N$. Note that we have proved that

$$\text{either } M \text{ acts trivially on } T_1 \text{ or } L = \widetilde{L}.$$

Case 1. Suppose that $L \neq \widetilde{L}$ and M acts trivially on T_1. Let C be a connected component of the full preimage S_1 of T_1 in T.

Claim 3. The (set-wise) stabilizers $H_2 := Stab_H(C)$ and $L_2 := Stab_L(C)$ of C are finitely generated.

Proof. Note that S_1 is H-invariant and that C coincides with a connected component of the full preimage of $T_1/(H/\widetilde{L}) \subseteq T/H$ in T. Indeed, if C is contained properly in a connected component C_0 of the full preimage of $T_1/(H/\widetilde{L})$ in T then the image of C_0 in $T/\widetilde{L}$ contains T_1 properly contradicting that the image of C_0 is $T_1/(H/\widetilde{L})$. Similarly C coincides with a connected component of the full preimage of $T_1/(H/\widetilde{L}) = T_1/(L/\widetilde{L}) \subseteq T/L$ in T.

Let U be an open normal subgroup of H and $V = U \cap L$. Then $L/\tilde{V}$ has bounded edge stabilizers. Let $\Sigma(V)$ denote the set of all finite subgroups $K \neq 1$ of $L/\tilde{V}$. Since $L/\tilde{V}$ is finitely generated having open free subgroup $V/\tilde{V}$, by [4, Lemma 8] there is a finite subset S of $\Sigma(V)$ with $\Sigma(V) = \{K^g \mid K \in S,\ g \in L/\tilde{V}\}$. Therefore the subset $T_{\Sigma(V)} := \{m \in T/\tilde{V} \mid \exists L \in \Sigma, m \in (T/\tilde{V})^L\}$, which is the union of all subtrees of fixed points $(T/\tilde{V})^K$ for subgroups $K \in \Sigma(V)$ can be represented in the form $T_{\Sigma(V)} = \bigcup_{L \in S} (T/\tilde{V})^K L/\tilde{V}$ and is hence a closed H-invariant subgraph of $T/\tilde{V}$. Therefore by [32, Prop., p.486], the quotient graph $D(V)$ obtained by collapsing each connected component of $T_{\Sigma(V)}$ to a vertex is simply connected and hence is a pro-p tree on which $L/\tilde{V}$ acts with trivial edge stabilizers.

Since M acts trivially on T_1 we have $L_e = 1$ for every $e \in C$. Indeed for every $e \in E(C)$ we have $S_0 e \subseteq \widetilde{L}e \subseteq Le$ where S_0 is a procyclic subgroup of H that maps surjectively to M by the canonical map $H \to H/\widetilde{L}$. Then $He = (L \rtimes S_0)e = Le$ and so $H_e/L_e \simeq \mathbb{Z}_p$ for every $e \in E(C)$. Since H_e is procyclic $L_e = 1$ for all $e \in E(C)$. But by Lemma 2.8 $H_e = (H_2)_e$ for every $e \in E(C)$, so $L \cap H_2$ is of infinite index in H_2 i.e. $H_2/(L \cap H_2) \simeq \mathbb{Z}_p$.

Put $L_{2V} = L_2/(L_2 \cap \tilde{V})$. Denote by C_V the image of C in $T/\tilde{V}$. Since $L_e = 1$ for all $e \in E(C)$ we obtain that $(L/\tilde{V})_e = 1$ for all $e \in E(C_V)$. Then by Theorem 2.6 applied for L_{2V} acting on the pro-p tree C_V

$$L_{2V} = \Big(\coprod_{v \in W} (L/\tilde{V})_v\Big) \coprod (L/\widetilde{L})$$

for some $W \subseteq V(C_V)$, where we used that

$$(L_{2V})_v = (L/\tilde{V})_v \text{ and } L/\widetilde{L} = L_{2V}/\widetilde{L_{2V}}.$$

Indeed for every $v \in C$ one has $L_v = (L_2)_v$ since L_2 is the stabilizer of the connected component C, taking the images of these stabilizers in $T/\tilde{V}$ one gets the first equality. For the second equality note that the definition of L_2 implies that $L = L_2\tilde{L}$ and by the definition of C the canonical map $T \to T/\widetilde{L}$ sends C surjectively to T_1. By Lemma 2.8 $T_1 = C/(H_2 \cap \widetilde{L})$ and since T_1 is a pro-p tree, by Lemma 2.3 $\widetilde{L} \cap L_2 = \widetilde{L} \cap H_2 = \widetilde{L \cap H_2} = \widetilde{L_2}$, where $\widetilde{L_2}$ is the closed subgroup of $L_2 = L \cap H_2$ generated by stabilizers of vertices in C. Then

$$L/\tilde{L} = (L_2\tilde{L})/\tilde{L} = L_2/(L_2 \cap \tilde{L}) = L_2/\widetilde{L_2}.$$

Let $G = L_{2V} \cap \tilde{L}/\tilde{V} = (L_2\tilde{V} \cap \tilde{L})/\tilde{V} = (L_2 \cap \tilde{L})\tilde{V}/\tilde{V} = \widetilde{L_2}\tilde{V}/\tilde{V}$. Since $T_1 = C_V/(L_{2V} \cap \tilde{L}/\tilde{V})$ is a pro-p tree we get that $G = \tilde{G}$, so $\widetilde{L_{2V}} = \widetilde{L_2}\tilde{V}/\tilde{V}$. Then

$$L_{2V}/\widetilde{L_{2V}} = (L_2\tilde{V}/\tilde{V})/(\widetilde{L_2}\tilde{V}/\tilde{V}) = L_2/\widetilde{L_2}.$$

Observe furthermore that W can be chosen any $\delta(C_V/L_{2V})$ where $\delta : C_V/L_{2V} \to C_V$ is a continious section of the canonical projection $C_V \to C_V/L_{2V}$.

Note that the collapsing of the connected components of $T_{\Sigma(V)}$ does not affect C_V, i.e. we can denote by the same letter the isomorphic image of it in $D(V)$. Thus we have the following commutative diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
C_V \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & T/\tilde{V} \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & D(V) \arrow[d] \\
T_1 = C_V/(\widetilde{L_2}\tilde{V}/\tilde{V}) \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & T/\tilde{L} \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & D(V)/(\tilde{L}/\tilde{V}) \arrow[d] \\
C_V/L_{2V} = C/L_2 = T_1/(L/\tilde{L}) \arrow[r] & T/L = (T/\tilde{V})/L/\tilde{V} \arrow[r] & D(V)/(L/\tilde{V})
\end{tikzcd}$$

where all composite maps from left to right are injections (the lower one follows from the middle one). Then by Theorem 2.6 applied for the action of $L/\tilde{V}$ on $D(V)$

$$L/\tilde{V} \cong (\coprod_{v\in V_0} (L/\tilde{V})_v) \coprod_{v\in W} (L/\tilde{V})_v \coprod (L/\widetilde{L}) = (\coprod_{v\in V_0} (L/\tilde{V})_v) \amalg L_{2V},$$

where $W \cup V_0 = \mu(D(V)/(L/\tilde{V}))$ with $\mu : D(V)/(L/\tilde{V}) \to D(V)$ to be an extension of δ to a continuous section of the projection $D(V) \to D(V)/(L/\tilde{V})$ (see [18, Exer. 5.6.8]). It follows that the number of generators of L_{2V} does not exceed the number of generators of $L/\tilde{V}$ for every V and so the number of generators of L_2 does not exceed the number of generators of L.

Since H_2/L_2 is procyclic, H_2 is finitely generated as well.

Finally we observe that by going down to a subgroup of finite index of H if necessary we can assume that H_2 is not abelian. Indeed if H_2 is abelian since $H_2\tilde{L} = H$ we get that $H/\tilde{L} = (L/\tilde{L}) \times M$ is abelian, so $L/\tilde{L} = \mathbb{Z}_p$. Since L is not soluble (see Corollary 5.5) by Theorem 2.4 there is a free non-abelian pro-p subgroup F of L acting freely on T. Since $F = F/\tilde{F}$ is the inverse limit of $K/\tilde{K}$ where K runs through the open subgroups of L that contain F we get that for some K the free pro-p group $K/\tilde{K}$ is not procyclic. Then by substituting L with K if necessary we get the desired property. This completes the proof of the claim.

Since M fixes all edges of T_1 for every $e \in E(C)$ we have $S_0 e \subseteq \widetilde{L}e \subseteq Le$ where S_0 is a procyclic subgroup of H that maps surjectively to M by the canonical map $H \to H/\widetilde{L}$. Then $He = (L \rtimes S_0)e = Le$ and so $H_e/L_e \simeq \mathbb{Z}_p$ for every $e \in E(C)$. Since H_e is procyclic $L_e = 1$ for all $e \in E(C)$. But by Lemma 2.8 $H_e = (H_2)_e$ for every $e \in E(C)$, so $L \cap H_2$ is of infinite index in H_2 i.e. $H_2/(L \cap H_2) \simeq \mathbb{Z}_p$.

By the definition of C the canonical map $T \to T/\widetilde{L}$ sends C surjectively to T_1. By Lemma 2.8 $T_1 = C/(H_2 \cap \widetilde{L})$ and since T_1 is a pro-p tree, by Theorem 2.3 $\widetilde{L} \cap H_2 = \widetilde{L \cap H_2}$, where $\widetilde{L \cap H_2}$ is the closed subgroup of $L \cap H_2$ generated by stabilizers of vertices in C. Hence replacing H by H_2, L by L_2 and T by C we may assume that

$$T/\widetilde{L} = T_1 \tag{6.2}$$

except that $H/\widetilde{L} = (L/\widetilde{L}) \times \mathbb{Z}_p$ might not hold. But by Theorem 6.2 it is sufficient to change H to a subgroup of finite index that contains L to repair this property and by Claim 2 this does not affect the fact that $H/\widetilde{L}$ acts irreducibly on T_1. So from now on we can assume that

$$H/\widetilde{L} = (L/\widetilde{L}) \times \mathbb{Z}_p. \tag{6.3}$$

Thus we have $L_e = 1$ for every $e \in E(T)$. Then by Theorem 2.6

$$L \cong (\coprod_{v \in V_0} L_v) \coprod (L/\widetilde{L}).$$

Since L is finitely generated all L_v are finitely generated.

Fix $v \in V(T)$. Since M fixes all vertices of T_1 we have $Lv = Hv$. Since $H_v/L_v \simeq \mathbb{Z}_p$, we have $H_v = L_v \rtimes \mathbb{Z}_p$. By the minimality of n we deduce that

$$H_v \text{ is abelian for all } v \in V_0.$$

This together with the fact that M acts trivially on T_1 and (6.3) implies that H and L satisfy the assumptions of Lemma 6.3 and by Lemma 6.3 we deduce that there is some $g \in H \setminus L$ that fixes an edge of T and

$$g \text{ commutes with } \widetilde{L}.$$

Let l be an element of L such that the image in $H/\widetilde{L}$ of the closed subgroup of H generated by lg is M.

Since M fixes T_1 we have for a fixed vertex v of T that

$$(lg)v = l_v v$$

for some $l_v \in \widetilde{L}$. Thus $H_v = L_v \rtimes \overline{\langle l_v^{-1} lg \rangle}$. Note that by Lemma 6.3 g acts trivially (via conjugation) on $\widetilde{L}$, hence g acts trivially on L_v. On the other hand the fact that H_v is abelian implies that $l_v^{-1} lg$ commutes with L_v. Then $l_v^{-1} l$ commutes with L_v. Since $\widetilde{L}$ is a free pro-p product of pro-p groups (one of which is L_v) we deduce that $l_v^{-1} l \in L_v$ because every free factor is self-centralized (see Corollary 4.4 (a) in [19]), so $l_v v = lv$. Then

$$gv = l^{-1} l_v v = v,$$

so g fixes every vertex of T. But the action of H on T is faithful, a contradiction.

Case 2. Suppose that $L = \widetilde{L}$. Let U be an open normal subgroup of H and $V = U \cap L$. Suppose that $V = \widetilde{V}$ for all U (otherwise we can continue as in Case 1 substituting L with V and H with U). Then $L/V = L/\widetilde{V}$ is finite and so stabilizes some vertex of the pro-p tree $T/\widetilde{V}$.

Since $\cap \widetilde{V} = \cap V = 1$ the inverse limit of $L/\widetilde{V}$ over $\widetilde{V}$ is L. On the other hand this inverse limit stabilizes a vertex of T as every $L/\widetilde{V}$ stabilizes some vertex of $T/\widetilde{V}$. Thus L is in a conjugate of G_{n-1} or A. In the second case L is abelian, hence H is soluble and by Corollary 5.5 H is abelian, a contradiction. In the first case conjugating if necessary we can suppose that $L \subseteq G_{n-1}$. Consider an epimorphism

$$\varphi : G_n = G_{n-1} \amalg_C A \to G_{n-1} \amalg_C \overline{A}$$

with free kernel, φ induces the identity map on G_{n-1} and an epimorphism $A \to \overline{A}$ where $\overline{A}$ is a free abelian pro-p group of rank $d(A) - 1$. Thus $ker(\varphi) \cap L = 1$. Then $\varphi(H) = \varphi(L) \rtimes \mathbb{Z}_p$ or $[\varphi(H) : \varphi(L)] < \infty$. In the first case $H \simeq \varphi(H)$ is a pro-p subgroup of $G_{n-1} \amalg_C \overline{A}$. Note that the weight of $G_{n-1} \amalg_C \overline{A}$ is at most n and that

$d(G_{n-1} \amalg_C \overline{A}) < d(G_{n-1} \amalg_C A)$, in contradiction to the minimality of $(n, d(G_n))$. In the second case $ker(\varphi) \cap H = H_0$ is infinite procyclic, and $H \subseteq N_{G_n}(H_0)$. By Theorem 5.1 $N_{G_n}(H_0) = C_{G_n}(H_0)$ is abelian, so H is abelian, a contradiction. □

Theorem 6.5. *Let H be a pro-p group from the class $\mathcal{L}$ with a non-trivial finitely generated normal pro-p subgroup N of infinite index. Then H is abelian.*

Proof. Since N is a pro-p group from the class $\mathcal{L}$ by Corollary 4.4 N is of type FP_∞. Then by the main result of [28] there is a finite index subgroup G_0 of H such that G_0 contains N and $cd(G_0/N) < \infty$. In particular G_0/N is non-trivial and torsion-free.

Let $g \in G_0 \setminus N$. The group $T = N.\overline{\langle g \rangle}$ is a pro-p group from the class $\mathcal{L}$, so by Corollary 4.4 is of type FP_∞ and $T/N \simeq \mathbb{Z}_p$. By Lemma 6.4 T is abelian and since there was no restriction on the choice of g in $G_0 \setminus N$ we get that $N \subseteq Z(G_0)$. By Corollary 5.3 G_0 is abelian and by Corollary 5.4 H is abelian. □

Corollary 6.6. *Let H be a pro-p group from the class $\mathcal{L}$ with a free pro-p subgroup F of rank 2. Then $F = N_H(F)$.*

Proof. Suppose that $F \neq N_H(F)$. Let $g \in N_H(F) \setminus F$ and define G as the pro-p subgroup of H generated by F and g. If G/F is infinite then G is a non-abelian pro-p group from the class $\mathcal{L}$ with a finitely generated normal pro-p subgroup of infinite index, in contradiction to Theorem 6.5. Thus F is open in G and by Serre's result [27] G is a free pro-p group. Since $F \neq G$ the Schreier formula yields $2 = d(F) > d(G)$, so G is procyclic and F is procyclic, a contradiction. □

Theorem 6.7. *Let G be a pro-p group from the class $\mathcal{L}$ and H a non-trivial finitely generated subgroup of G. Then $[N_G(H) : H]$ is finite unless $N_G(H)$ is abelian.*

Proof. Suppose on the contrary that $N_G(H)$ is non-abelian and $[N_G(H) : H]$ is infinite. Put $N = N_G(H)$ and suppose that N/H is not torsion. Let $t \in N \setminus H$. Suppose first that t has infinite order modulo H (note that we have supposed that such t exists). Then the closed subgroup of N generated by H and t has a normal closed finitely generated subgroup H and so by Lemma 6.4 is abelian. In particular H is abelian. If t has finite order modulo H then by Corollary 5.4 the closed subgroup of N generated by H and t is abelian, so $[t, H] = 1$. Since H is non-trivial, by transitive commutativity any two elements of N commute, so N is abelian, a contradiction.

Thus we can suppose from now on that N/H is an infinite torsion pro-p group. Since H is a pro-p group from the class $\mathcal{L}$ by Corollary 4.4 H is of type FP_∞. By Lemma 3.1 every group from the class $\mathcal{L}$ has finite cohomological dimension and hence every subgroup of a group from the class $\mathcal{L}$ has finite cohomological dimension. In particular $cd(N) < \infty$. Then by the main result of [28] there is a finite index subgroup G_0 of N such that G_0 contains H and $cd(G_0/H) < \infty$. In particular G_0/H is torsion-free and hence $G_0 = H$, a contradiction to the fact that N/H is infinite. This completes the proof. □

7. 2-GENERATED PRO-p GROUPS IN THE CLASS $\mathcal{L}$

Lemma 7.1. *Let Q be a non-trivial free abelian pro-p group of finite rank and Y be the set of all epimorphisms of pro-p groups $\varphi : Q \to Q_1 = \mathbb{Z}_p$. Let $\hat{\varphi}$ be the continuous ring homomorphism $\mathbb{F}_p[[Q]] \to \mathbb{F}_p[[Q_1]]$ induced by φ. Then $\cap_{\varphi \in Y} Ker(\hat{\varphi}) = 0$.*

Proof. We prove by induction on n the following more general statement. Let R be a pro-p ring of characteristic p, $R[[t_1,\dots,t_n]]$ be the commutative ring of formal power series and $Q_{R,n}$ be the closed group generated by $\{1+t_i\}_{1\leq i\leq n}$. Consider the set W of all homomorphism of pro-p rings $\widehat{\varphi}: R[[t_1,\dots,t_n]]\to R[[t_1]]$ that induce an epimorphsim of pro-p groups $\varphi: Q_{R,n}\to Q_{R,1}$. We claim that

$$\cap_{\widehat{\varphi}\in W} ker\widehat{\varphi}=0.$$

By writing $R[[t_1,\dots,t_n]]$ as $R[[t_n]][[t_1,\dots,t_{n-1}]]$ we see that to prove the inductive step is sufficient to consider the case $n=2$.

Assume from now that $n=2$ and define $\theta_i: R[[t_1,t_2]]\to R[[t_1]]$ by $\theta_i(t_1)=t_1$ and $\theta_i(t_2)=t_1^{p^i}$. Thus $\theta_i(1+t_2)=(1+t_1)^{p^i}$ and θ_i sends $Q_{R,2}$ surjectively to $Q_{R,1}$. We prove by induction on j the following claim : for $ker(\theta_i)=(t_2-t_1^{p^i})R[[t_1,t_2]]$

$$\cap_{1\leq i\leq j}\, ker(\theta_i)=\prod_{1\leq i\leq j} ker(\theta_i) \tag{7.1}$$

Indeed if f is in the left hand side of (7.1), then by induction $f=(\prod_{1\leq i\leq j-1}(t_2-t_1^{p^i}))f_0$ for some $f_0\in R[[t_1,t_2]]$. Since $\theta_j(f)=0$, $\theta_j(t_2-t_1^{p^i})\neq 0$ for $1\leq i\leq j-1$ and $R[[t_1]]$ is a domain we deduce that $f_0\in Ker(\theta_j)=(t_2-t_1^{p^j})R[[t_1,t_2]]$. This completes the proof of the claim.

Finally let I be the augmentation ideal of $R[[t_1,t_2]]$. By the claim $\cap_{1\leq i\leq j} ker(\theta_i)\subseteq I^j$ and $\cap_{j\geq 1} I^j=0$. Thus $\cap_{i\geq 1} ker(\theta_i)=0$. □

Lemma 7.2. *Let* $1\to F\to G\to Q\to 1$ *be a short exact sequence of pro-p groups with* Q *non-trivial pro-p abelian torsion-free,* G *finitely generated and* F *free pro-p, 1-generated as a closed normal subgroup of* G*. Then either* G *has a pro-p subgroup* N *such that* $G/N\simeq\mathbb{Z}_p$ *and* N *is finitely generated or* $F/F'F^p\simeq\mathbb{F}_p[[Q]]$ *and so there is a free pro-p subgroup* F_0 *of* G *such that* $F\subset F_0$, $F_0/F\simeq\mathbb{Z}_p$ *and* G/F_0 *is torsion-free.*

Proof. Since F is generated by one element as a closed normal subgroup of G we have that $V=F/F'F^p$ is a cyclic pro-p $\mathbb{F}_p[[Q]]$-module, where Q acts by conjugation.

Suppose first that V is not free as a pro-p $\mathbb{F}_p[[Q]]$-module. Then $V\simeq\mathbb{F}_p[[Q]]/I$ where I is some closed ideal in $\mathbb{F}_p[[Q]]$. Let $\varphi: Q\to Q_1=\mathbb{Z}_p$ be a surjective homomorphism of pro-p groups and $\hat{\varphi}$ be the continuous ring homomorphism $\mathbb{F}_p[[Q]]\to\mathbb{F}_p[[Q_1]]$ induced by φ. By Lemma 7.1 $\cap Ker(\hat{\varphi})=0$ when φ runs through all such epimorphisms. In particular there is φ such that $\hat{\varphi}(I)\neq 0$. Then $V\widehat{\otimes}_{\mathbb{F}_p[[Ker(\varphi)]]}\mathbb{F}_p\simeq(\mathbb{F}_p[[Q]]/I)\widehat{\otimes}_{\mathbb{F}_p[[Ker(\varphi)]]}\mathbb{F}_p\simeq\mathbb{F}_p[[Q_1]]/\hat{\varphi}(I)$ is finite and by Nakayama's lemma V is finitely generated as a pro-p $\mathbb{F}_p[[Ker(\varphi)]]$-module. Then the preimage N of $ker(\varphi)$ in G is finitely generated as a pro-p group and $G/N\simeq\mathbb{Z}_p$.

Suppose now that $V\simeq\mathbb{F}_p[[Q]]$. Take any element $q\in Q$ such that the pro-p subgroup of Q generated by q is a direct factor in Q. Let g be an element of the preimage of q in G. Then the pro-p subgroup F_0 of G generated by F and g is free pro-p. Indeed $V\simeq\mathbb{F}_p[[Q]]$ is also a free $\mathbb{F}_p[[\overline{\langle q\rangle}]]$-module with the basis Z, where $Z\times\overline{\langle g\rangle}=Q$. Lifting Z to a closed subset $\widetilde{Z}$ of F and translating (i.e. conjugating) it by $\overline{\langle g\rangle}$ we obtain a closed $\overline{\langle g\rangle}$-invariant basis X of F as a free pro-p group where the image of X in V is $\{Q\}$. Then F_0 is a free pro-p group with a basis $\widetilde{Z}\cup\{g\}$. □

Theorem 7.3. *Every 2-generated pro-p group from the class $\mathcal{L}$ is either free pro-p or abelian.*

Proof. Let H be a 2-generated pro-p subgroup of $G_n \in \mathcal{G}_n$ such that H is not procyclic. We prove by induction on the minimal number of generators of G_n that H is either free pro-p or abelian. The induction starts since the minimal number of generators for G_n, when H exists, is 2 and in this case G_n is either free pro-p or abelian.

The case $n = 0$ is obvious since free pro-p groups have only free pro-p subgroups. Thus we can assume that $n \geq 1$. Let $G_n = G_{n-1} \amalg_C A$, where $A = C \times B \simeq \mathbb{Z}_p^m$ and $C \simeq \mathbb{Z}_p$. Let $D \simeq \mathbb{Z}_p$ be a direct summand of B and $M = \overline{\langle \cup_{g \in G_n} D^g \rangle}$. Thus M is the kernel of the epimorphism of pro-p groups $\varphi : G_n = G_{n-1} \amalg_C A \to G_{n-1} \amalg_C (A/D) = L$ that is the identity map on G_{n-1} and the canonical projection $A \to A/D$ on A. By Theorem 2.7 M is free pro-p. Note that L is a pro-p group from the class $\mathcal{L}$ with less generators than G_n. By induction $\varphi(H)$ is either free pro-p or abelian. Note that by Lemma 3.1 $\varphi(H)$ is torsion-free.

1. If $\varphi(H)$ is free pro-p of rank 2, since H is 2-generated $H \simeq \varphi(H)$ and we are done.

2. If $\varphi(H) \simeq \mathbb{Z}_p$ we get that H is an extension of the free pro-p group $M_0 = M \cap H$ by $\mathbb{Z}_p$. We claim that every 2-generated pro-p group from the class $\mathcal{L}$ that is free-by-$\mathbb{Z}_p$ is either free pro-p or abelian. Since H is 2-generated M_0 is generated as a normal closed subgroup of H by just one element. Then for $V = M_0/\overline{M_0^p[M_0, M_0]}$ we have that V is a cyclic $\mathbb{F}_p[[Q]]$-module via conjugation, where $Q = H/M_0 \simeq \mathbb{Z}_p$. Suppose that H is not abelian. By Theorem 6.4 M_0 is not finitely generated as a pro-p group, so V is infinite and hence $V \simeq \mathbb{F}_p[[Q]]$. This implies together with Lemma 7.2 that H is a free pro-p group.

3. If $\varphi(H) \simeq \mathbb{Z}_p \times \mathbb{Z}_p$ we have that H is an extension of the free pro-p group $M_0 = M \cap H$ by $\mathbb{Z}_p \times \mathbb{Z}_p$. Since H is 2-generated, M_0 is generated as a normal closed subgroup of H by just one element, namely the commutator of two generators of H. Then by Lemma 7.2 either there is a normal finitely generated pro-p subgroup of infinite index in H, a contradiction with Theorem 6.5, or there is a normal free pro-p subgroup F_0 of H such that $F_0/M_0 \simeq \mathbb{Z}_p$. In the last case $H/F_0 \simeq \mathbb{Z}_p$ and we can argue exactly as in the case 2.

4. If $\varphi(H)$ is the trivial group then H is a pro-p subgroup of the free pro-p group M, so is free pro-p. □

8. Euler characteristic

By definition a pro-p group G from the class $\mathcal{L}$ is a closed subgroup of some $G_n \in \mathcal{G}_n$, hence $cd(G) \leq cd(G_n) < \infty$. Since G is of type FP_∞, see Corollary 4.4, it has a well-defined Euler characteristic $\chi(G) = \sum_{0 \leq i \leq cd(G)} (-1)^i dim_{\mathbb{F}_p} H_i(G, \mathbb{F}_p)$.

Theorem 8.1. *Every pro-p group G from the class $\mathcal{L}$ has Euler characteristic $\chi(G) \leq 0$.*

Proof. Let N be a closed normal subgroup of G such that $Q = G/N$ is torsion-free nilpotent and N is free pro-p (see Proposition 4.3). Let

$$\mathcal{P} : 0 \to P_m \to P_{m-1} \to \ldots \to P_1 \to P_0 \to \mathbb{Z}_p \to 0$$

be a free resolution of the trivial right $\mathbb{Z}_p[[G]]$-module $\mathbb{Z}_p$ with P_i finitely generated, say of rank α_i, for all i. Note that

$$H_i(\mathcal{P}\widehat{\otimes}_{\mathbb{Z}_p[[N]]}\mathbb{F}_p) = H_i(N, \mathbb{F}_p) \text{ for } i \geq 1.$$

Since N is a free pro-p group

$$H_i(\mathcal{P}\widehat{\otimes}_{\mathbb{Z}_p[[N]]}\mathbb{F}_p) = 0 \text{ for } i \neq 1 \tag{8.1}$$

and

$$H_1(\mathcal{P}\widehat{\otimes}_{\mathbb{Z}_p[[N]]}\mathbb{F}_p) = N/\overline{[N,N]N^p} = V.$$

Since Q is a torsion-free nilpotent pro-p group and hence of finite rank, $\mathbb{F}_p[[Q]]$ is a right and left Noetherian ring without zero divisors [2, Cor. 7.25]. Then $\mathbb{F}_p[[Q]]$ is an Ore ring and has a classical ring of quotients, denoted by K. Note that the abstract tensor product $\otimes_{\mathbb{F}_p[[Q]]}K$ is an exact functor and

$$V \otimes_{\mathbb{F}_p[[Q]]} K \simeq H_1(\mathcal{P}\widehat{\otimes}_{\mathbb{Z}_p[[N]]}\mathbb{F}_p) \otimes_{\mathbb{F}_p[[Q]]} K \simeq H_1((\mathcal{P}\widehat{\otimes}_{\mathbb{Z}_p[[N]]}\mathbb{F}_p) \otimes_{\mathbb{F}_p[[Q]]} K) \simeq K^z$$

for some non-negative integer z. Then using that $(P_i\widehat{\otimes}_{\mathbb{Z}_p[[N]]}\mathbb{F}_p) \otimes_{\mathbb{F}_p[[Q]]} K \simeq K^{\alpha_i}$ and (8.1) we get

$$\chi(G) = \sum_i (-1)^i \alpha_i = \sum_i (-1)^i dim_K H_i((\mathcal{P}\widehat{\otimes}_{\mathbb{Z}_p[[N]]}\mathbb{F}_p) \otimes_{\mathbb{F}_p[[Q]]} K).$$

Note that since $- \otimes_{\mathbb{F}_p[[Q]]} K$ is an exact functor it commutes with homology, hence

$$H_i((\mathcal{P}\widehat{\otimes}_{\mathbb{Z}_p[[N]]}\mathbb{F}_p) \otimes_{\mathbb{F}_p[[Q]]} K) \simeq (H_i(\mathcal{P}\widehat{\otimes}_{\mathbb{Z}_p[[N]]}\mathbb{F}_p)) \otimes_{\mathbb{F}_p[[Q]]} K.$$

Then

$$\chi(G) = \sum_i (-1)^i dim_K((H_i(\mathcal{P}\widehat{\otimes}_{\mathbb{Z}_p[[N]]}\mathbb{F}_p)) \otimes_{\mathbb{F}_p[[Q]]} K) =$$

$$-dim_K(H_1(\mathcal{P}\widehat{\otimes}_{\mathbb{Z}_p[[N]]}\mathbb{F}_p) \otimes_{\mathbb{F}_p[[Q]]} K) = -z \leq 0.$$

□

Theorem 8.2. *Let G be a pro-p group from the class $\mathcal{L}$ that is free-by-abelian and such that its Euler characteristic $\chi(G) = 0$. Then G is abelian.*

Proof. Let F be a closed normal subgroup of G such that F is free pro-p and $Q = G/F$ is abelian. By going down to a subgroup of finite index if necessary we can assume that G/F is torsion-free. By the proof of Corollary 8.1 $\chi(G) = -z$ where $dim_K(V \otimes_{\mathbb{F}_p[[Q]]} K) = z$, $V = H_1(F, \mathbb{F}_p)$ and K is the abstract field of fractions of $\mathbb{F}_p[[Q]]$. Since $\chi(G) = 0$ we have that $z = 0$ and $V \otimes_{\mathbb{F}_p[[Q]]} K = 0$. So the annihilator $ann_{\mathbb{F}_p[[Q]]}(V) = I$ is non-zero. By the proof of Lemma 7.2 there is an epimorphism $\varphi : Q \to Q_1 \simeq \mathbb{Z}_p$ such that $\mathbb{F}_p[[Q]]/I$ is finitely generated as a pro-p $\mathbb{F}_p[[Ker(\varphi)]]$-module. Then V is finitely generated as a pro-p $\mathbb{F}_p[[Ker(\varphi)]]$-module.

Let M be the preimage of $Ker(\varphi)$ in G. Then M is a normal closed subgroup of G, M is finitely generated and $G/M \simeq \mathbb{Z}_p$. By Lemma 6.4 G is abelian. □

9. Open questions

Here we list possible properties of the pro-p groups G from the class $\mathcal{L}$.

1. $def(G) \geq 2$ if every abelian pro-p subgroups of G is procyclic and G itself is not procyclic, where $def(G) = dim_{F_p} H^1(G, \mathbb{F}_p) - dim_{F_p} H^2(G, \mathbb{F}_p)$ denotes the deficiency of G.

2. Every finitely generated subgroup of a pro-p group from the class $\mathcal{L}$ is a virtual retract.

3. The Euler characteristic $\chi(G) = 0$ if and only if G is abelian. In the particular case when G is free-by-abelian this holds by Theorem 8.2.

4. The Howson property: the intersection of any two finitely generated pro-p subgroups of G is finitely generated.

5. G is residually free pro-p.

6. G is residually torsion-free pro-p nilpotent.

Note that 5 implies 6. For abstract limit groups a stronger version of 5 holds as abstract limit groups are fully residually free. In the abstract case property 4 was proved in [6], 3 in [12], 2 in [29]. Property 1 follows by induction on the height h of an abstract limit group: one uses the fact that a freely indecomposable abstract limit group of height $h \geq 1$ is the fundamental group of a finite graph of groups with infinite cyclic edge groups such that at least one vertex group is a non-abelian limit group of height $\leq h-1$ [1, Lemma 1.4]. The definition of height of an abstract limit group can be found in [1, Section 1] and differs from our notion of weight by allowing almost all surface groups as height zero limit groups.

Acknowledgements

The authors thank the referee for the many helpful remarks that improved the paper.

References

[1] M. Bridson, J. Howie, *Normalisers in limit groups*, Math. Ann. 337 (2007), no. 2, 385–394.

[2] J. D. Dixon, M. P. F. du Sautoy, A. Mann, D. Segal, *Analytic pro-p groups*, Second edition. Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics, 61. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1999.

[3] D. Gindelhuys and L. Ribes, *A Kurosh subgroup theorem for free pro-$\mathcal{C}$-products of pro-$\mathcal{C}$-groups.* Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 186 (1974), 309–329.

[4] W. Herford, P. A. Zalesskii, *Virtually free pro-p groups whose torsion elements have finite centralizer*, Bull. Lond. Math. Soc. 40 (2008), no. 6, 929–936

[5] J. D. King, *Homological finiteness conditions for pro-p groups*, Comm. Algebra 27 (1999), no. 10, 4969–4991.

[6] O. G. Kharlampovich, A. G. Myasnikov, V. N. Remeslennikov, D. E. Serbin, *Subgroups of fully residually free groups: algorithmic problems*, Group theory, statistics, and cryptography, 63–101, Contemp. Math., 360, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2004.

[7] A. Kharlampovich, A. Myasnikov, *Irreducible affine varieties over a free group. I. Irreducibility of quadratic extensions and Nullstellensatz*, J. Algebra 200 (1998) 472–516.

[8] O. Kharlampovich, A. Myasnikov, *Irreducible affine varieties over a free group. II. Systems in triangular quasi quadratic form and description of resudually free groups*, J. Algebra 200 (1998) 517–570.

[9] O. Kharlampovich, A. Myasnikov, *Elementary theory of free nonabelian groups* Journal of Algebra 302 (2006) 451–552.

[10] O. Kharlampovich, A. Myasnikov, *Implicit function theorem over free groups* Journal of Algebra, 290 (2005), 1–203.

[11] O. Kharlampovich, A. Myasnikov Effective JSJ decompositions Contemp.Math. AMS, Algorithms, Languages, Logic (Borovik, ed.), CONM/ 378, 2005, 87–212.

[12] D. Kochloukova, *On subdirect products of type FP_m of limit groups*, J. Group Theory 13 (2010), no. 1, 119.

[13] M. Lazard. *Groupes analytiques p-adiques,* Publ. Math. I.H.E.S., 26 (1965) 389–603.

[14] A. Lubotzky, *Combinatorial group theory for pro-p-groups*, J. Pure Appl. Algebra 25 (1982), no. 3, 311–325.

[15] O. V. Melnikov, *Subgroups and homology of free products of profinite groups* Math. USSR Izv. 34 (1990) 97-119.

[16] V. N. Remeslennikov, *∃-free groups*, Sibirsk. Mat. Zh., 30 (1989), no. 6, 193-197.

[17] L. Ribes, *On amalgamated products of profinite groups*, Math. Z. 123 (1971), 357–364.

[18] L. Ribes, P. Zalesskii, *Profinite groups*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2000.

[19] L. Ribes, P. Zalesskii, *Pro-p trees and applications*, New horizons in pro-p groups, 75–119, Progr. Math., 184, Birkhäuser Boston, Boston, MA, 2000.

[20] L. Ribes, P. A. Zalesskii, *Conjugacy separability of amalgamated free products of groups*, J. Algebra 179 (1996), no. 3, 751–774.

[21] Z. Sela, *Diophantine geometry over groups. I: Makanin-Razborov diagrams*, Publ. Inst. Hautes Études Sci. 93 (2001) 31-105.

[22] Z. Sela, *Diophantine geometry over groups. II. Completions, closures and formal solutions.* Israel J. Math. 134 (2003), 173–254.

[23] Z. Sela, *Diophantine geometry over groups. III. Rigid and solid solutions.* Israel J. Math. 147 (2005) 1–73.

[24] Z. Sela, *Diophantine geometry over groups. IV. An iterative procedure for validation of a sentence.* Israel J. Math. 143 (2004) 1–130.

[25] Z. Sela, *Diophantine geometry over groups V_2: quantifier elimination. II.* Geom. Funct. Anal. 16 (2006) 537–706.

[26] Z. Sela, *Diophantine geometry over groups. VI. The elementary theory of a free group*, Geom. Funct. Anal. 16 (2006) 707–730.

[27] Serre J.-P., Sur la dimension cohomologique des groupes profinis, *Topology* 3, (1965) 413-420.

[28] T. Weigel, P. Zalesskii, *Profinite groups of finite cohomological dimension*, C. R. Math. Acad. Sci. Paris 338 (2004), no. 5, 353–358.

[29] H. Wilton, *Hall's theorem for limit groups*, Geom. Funct. Anal. 18 (2008), no. 1, 271–303.

[30] P.A. Zalesskii, O.V. Melnikov, *Fundamental Groups of Graphs of Profinite Groups,* Algebra i Analiz 1 (1989); translated in: Leningrad Math. J. 1 (1990), 921-940.

[31] P. A. Zalesskii, *Normal divisors of free constructions of pro-finite groups, and the congruence kernel in the case of a positive characteristic*, Izv. Ross. Akad. Nauk Ser. Mat. 59 (1995), no. 3, 59–76; translation in Izv. Math. 59 (1995), no. 3, 499–516.

[32] P. A. Zalesskii, *Open subgroups of free profinite products. Proceedings of the International Conference on Algebra*, Part 1 (Novosibirsk, 1989), 473–491, Contemp. Math., 131, Part 1, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1992

Department of Mathematics, University of Campinas, Cx. P. 6065, 13083-970 Campinas, SP, Brazil, Department of Mathematics, University of Brasília,, 70910-900 Brasília DF, Brazil

E-mail address: `desi@ime.unicamp.br, pz@mat.unb.br`